

Comments by Rafael Repullo on

**An Analysis of the Literature on  
International Unconventional  
Monetary Policy**

Saroj Bhattarai and Christopher Neely

*Norges Bank Workshop on Unconventional Monetary Policy*

*21-22 June 2021*

# Preamble

- Why am I discussing this paper?
  - I am not a macroeconomist
  - It's a survey paper
  - It's forthcoming in the *Journal of Economic Literature*
- Organizers wanted an “outsider’s perspective”
  - Incorporating financial stability implications
- My discussion will focus on what I miss in the paper
  - With only brief reference to financial stability issues

# What is UMP?

- Unconventional Monetary Policy (UMP) consists of a wide variety of tools recently used by central banks
  - Asset purchases (QE)
  - Forward guidance
  - Lending tools (Targeted LTROs, Funding for lending)
  - Reserves tools (interest on reserves, tiering)
  - Negative interest rates
    - Unconventional use of a conventional tool

# Structure of paper

- Theoretical frameworks 18 pp
- Description of UMP by four major central banks 4 pp
- Empirical studies of effects of UMP on asset markets 22 pp
- Empirical studies of effects of UMP on macro variables 6 pp
- Final assessment 8 pp

# What am I going to do?

- Brief comment on empirical sections
- Brief description of theoretical model
- Three critical comments on theoretical model
  - What do we mean by “money”?
  - What is the balance sheet of the central bank?
  - What do we mean by seigniorage?
- Financial stability effects of UMP
- Concluding remarks

# **Part 1**

## **Comment on empirical sections**

## Comment on empirical sections (i)

- Assessing effects of UMP is an extremely difficult task
- Assessing effects of Conventional MP (CMP) is difficult
  - Have to identify the unanticipated change in policy rate
  - Because policy changes are (partly) endogenous
  - But at least there is a “single” policy rate

## Comment on empirical sections (ii)

- With UMP we have a large set of policy variables
- Take, for example, the case of QE
  - It is not just size of the purchases
  - The characteristics of the assets (issuer, maturities, ratings)
- Moreover, changes are generally bundled with other MP actions
  - And sometimes also regulatory actions



## Comment on empirical sections (iii)

- How can one identify the unanticipated change in policy?
  - And then assess its effects on financial or macro variables?
- A most challenging task indeed
  - Which central banks have to perform anyway
  - Because they have to account for their actions
- Not a great prospect
  - Especially, given absence of a solid theoretical framework
  - That could guide interpretation of empirical results

## **Part 2**

### **Description of theoretical model**

## Theoretical model (i)

- Infinitely lived households with utility function that depends on
  - Consumption of a continuum of differentiated varieties
  - Labor supply
  - Real balances
- Monopolistically competitive firms that produce these varieties
  - Hire labor in a competitive labor market
  - Set nominal prices subject to convex adjustment costs

## Theoretical model (ii)

- Four assets held by households
  - Money (cash) that pays zero interest
  - Central bank reserves = one-period government bonds
  - Two-period government bonds
- Constant supply of one- and two-period government bonds
- Central bank + government budget constraint
- Policy rate is interest on reserves = interest of one-period bonds
- Taylor rule determines the evolution of policy rate

# A first query

- In the model
  - Reserves and one-period bonds are perfect substitutes
- In reality
  - Reserves can only be held by banks, not by households
  - But there are no banks in the theoretical model
- Implication
  - Simple QE (purchase of one-period bonds) is irrelevant

# What about other types of QE?

- Results show conditions for all types of QE to be irrelevant
  - They only affect the path of two-period interest rates
- Then introduce frictions that make them relevant
  - Market segmentation
  - Limits to arbitrage, etc.

## **Part 3**

# **Critical comments on theoretical model**

## **Part 3a**

**What do we mean by “money”?**



# On the concept of “money”

- Money in the model is a cash-like zero interest asset
  - Passively issued by central bank on demand
  - Provides liquidity services to household
- In reality, cash is completely irrelevant for monetary policy
  - As any central banker would attest
- It is time to think of models that move away from this setup
  - Replace it by reserves issued by central banks
  - This requires having banks in the model: long overdue!

## **Part 3b**

**What is the balance sheet of central bank?**

# Balance sheet of central bank (i)

- Balance sheet does not explicitly appear in model  
→ Most peculiar given nature of QE

Central bank	
<del>One period bonds</del>	Cash
Two-period bonds	Reserves (net)
	Capital

→ Since reserves and one-period bonds are perfect substitutes

## Balance sheet of central bank (ii)

Central bank at date  $t$

$A_t = \text{Assets}$	$M_t = \text{Cash}$
	$L_t = \text{Reserves}$
	$K_t = \text{Capital}$

- Notation

→ Return of central bank assets =  $r_{t+1}$

→ Return of central bank reserves =  $r_{0t+1}$  (policy rate)

→ Return of cash = 0

## Balance sheet of central bank (iii)

Central bank at date  $t$

$A_t = \text{Assets}$	$M_t = \text{Cash}$
	$L_t = \text{Reserves}$
	$K_t = \text{Capital}$

Central bank at beginning of date  $t + 1$

$A_t (1 + r_{t+1})$	$M_t$
	$L_t (1 + r_{0t+1})$
	$K_{t+1}$

## Balance sheet of central bank (iv)

- Assume initial value of central bank's capital  $K_t = 0$
- Profits of central bank (transferred to government)

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{t+1} &= K_{t+1} - K_t = K_{t+1} \\ &= A_t(1 + r_{t+1}) - L_t(1 + r_{0t+1}) - M_t \\ &= (L_t + M_t)(1 + r_{t+1}) - L_t(1 + r_{0t+1}) - M_t \\ &= \underbrace{L_t(r_{t+1} - r_{0t+1})}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Profits from} \\ \text{reserves}}} + \underbrace{M_t r_{t+1}}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Profits from} \\ \text{cash}}}\end{aligned}$$

## Balance sheet of central bank (v)

- Expression for profits corresponds to real world central banks
  - But it is not the one that appears in this paper
  - As well as in most papers in the literature

- The correct expression

$$\Pi_{t+1} = L_t(r_{t+1} - r_{0t+1}) + M_t r_{t+1}$$

- The standard expression

$$T_{t+1} = L_t(r_{t+1} - r_{0t+1}) + \underbrace{M_{t+1} - M_t}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Printing of money}}}$$

## **Part 3c**

**What do we mean by seigniorage?**



# On the concept of seigniorage (i)

- Two concepts of seigniorage

→ Profits of central bank

$$\Pi_{t+1} = L_t(r_{t+1} - r_{0t+1}) + M_t r_{t+1}$$

→ Change in zero cost liabilities of central bank

$$\Delta M_{t+1} = M_{t+1} - M_t$$

## On the concept of seigniorage (ii)

- Some reasons why one should prefer the first concept
  - Corresponds to payments of central bank to government
  - Avoids problem that  $\Delta M_{t+1}$  is exogenous (and volatile)
  - Avoids assumption that cash will be with us forever
  - Important given future central bank digital currencies

## On the concept of seigniorage (iii)

- It's surprising how slow progress has been on this front
  - Even central bankers that should know better
  - Keep working with models in which seigniorage is  $\Delta M_{t+1}$
- It is high time to abandon this fiction
  - Work with the reality of central bank balance sheets
  - Work with the reality of central bank profits

## **Part 4**

# **Financial stability effects of UMP**

# Financial stability effects of UMP (i)

- Assessing effects of CMP on financial stability is difficult
  - Consensus on “too low for too long” no longer exists
- Low policy rates may not increase risk-taking
  - When banks have significant market power
  - Martinez-Miera and Repullo (2021)
- Assessing effects of UMP is even more difficult

## Financial stability effects of UMP (ii)

- A general principle
  - To assess the effects of policies on risk-taking
  - Look at effects on banks' charter values
  - Both theoretically and empirically (stock market values)
- High charter values induce prudent behavior
  - Banks have an incentive to preserve them
- Use this principle for first assessment of some UMPs

## Financial stability effects of UMP (iii)

- Asset purchases (QE): Bad for financial stability
  - Replace high yielding assets by low yielding reserves
  - Although there may be some capital gains in short run
- Lending tools (Targeted LTROs): Good for financial stability
  - Reduce banks' costs of funding
- Reserves tools (tiering): Good for financial stability
  - Increase return of banks' reserves
- Negative interest rates: Bad for financial stability
  - ZLB on deposit rates reduce intermediation margins

## **Financial stability effects of UMP (iv)**

- Previous assessment ignores general equilibrium effects
  - Through lending and investment decisions
  - Through consumption, employment, output, etc.
- This requires to embed financial institutions into macro models



# **Concluding remarks**

## Concluding remarks (i)

- UMP is here to stay
  - We'd better spend resources in understanding its effects
- A challenging task
  - Empirical identification is difficult
  - Theoretical models lag behind the reality of central banks

## Concluding remarks (ii)

- No financial intermediaries in standard money-macro models
- Unsatisfactory state of affairs
  - Banks play key role in transmission of CMP
  - Even more so for UMP
- It's surprising how slow progress has been on this front
  - More than 10 years after Global Financial Crisis
  - Except for a few notable contributions

## Concluding remarks (iii)

- We need financial intermediaries to consider
  - Risk-taking channel of monetary policy
  - Effect of macroprudential policies
  - Joint effects of monetary and macroprudential policies
- We also need financial intermediaries to consider
  - Effect of the introduction of CBDCs
- Summing up: more efforts should be directed towards modeling
  - Understanding UMP requires unconventional models
  - Let's build them!

## Some references

- Bigio, S., and J. Bianchi (2021), “Banks, Liquidity Management, and Monetary Policy,” *Econometrica*, forthcoming.
- Brunnermeier, M., and Y. Koby (2019), “The Reversal Interest Rate.”
- Gertler, M., and P. Karadi (2011), “A Model of Unconventional Monetary Policy,” *Journal of Monetary Economics*.
- Heider, F., F. Saidi, and G. Schepens (2019), “Life below Zero: Bank Lending under Negative Policy Rates,” *Review of Financial Studies*.
- Martinez-Miera, D., and R. Repullo (2020), “Interest Rates, Market Power, and Financial Stability.”
- Piazzesi, M., C. Rogers, and M. Schneider (2021), “Money and Banking in a New Keynesian Model.”
- Repullo, R. (2020a), “The Reversal Interest Rate: A Critical Review.”
- Ulate, M. (2021), “Going Negative at the Zero Lower Bound: The Effects of Negative Nominal Interest Rates,” *American Economic Review*.